Multimodal User Interface Design

Increasing Accessibility to Mathematics
For Blind students

Yayoi Shimomura

October 2005
University of Iceland
Reykjavik, Iceland
Abstract

The project investigates the potential benefits of multimodal user interfaces for blind students. A multimodal user interface uses the combination of two or more different human senses to interact with computers. The work focused on finding the needs of the interaction model for blind students by using different human modalities, so that they perceive and control information in efficient and effective ways. Sweller’s cognitive load theory (Sweller 1988) is applied in this study to examine efficient and effective learning through computer interaction.

In the first part of this work, we studied how visually impaired computer users interact with computers with currently used solutions, screen reader and Braille display. In the second part, the work explored the potential use of haptic technology to visualize the computer information for mathematical learning as an experimental data type which visually impaired people have difficulty to access. The work was carried out in a real context with users who are blind.

The result indicated that the audio user interface (screen-reader) was not always the best interaction solution though this is the most commonly used among the blind computer users. The effectiveness of tactile user interface (Braille-display) to accomplish certain tasks was similar to the audio user interface, while the users’ satisfaction rate was
higher. Haptic user interface was tested with a prototype application developed for this study on a haptic machine (PHANToM). In the haptic experiment, we have focused on the accuracy of information perceived by users through haptics. Users were able to guess the shape of virtual object but identifying the details of the object with the prototype is not accurate for the purpose of mathematical learning use. At this point, we concluded that haptic-only perception has a limitation to interact with computer.

We presented the effects of the single mode as a result of this work. It is important to understand how blind students interact with computer through the single mode in order to develop the effective and efficient multimodal user interface. By understanding the effect of each mode, we are able to optimize the use of each mode when combining them together. The study analyzed the recommendations and open questions directed to audio (screen reader) and tactile (Braille display) user interfaces. The further research is required to investigate the strength of haptic interaction. We have presented the suggestions of further research and hypothesis that needs to be evaluated in the future work.
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1 Introduction

Graphical user interfaces represent a fundamental change in the way computer display information and the way humans interact with them. Visualization is increasingly important as a means for people to understand complex information. Visualization is concerned with “exploring data and information in such a way as to gain understanding and insight into data” (Edwards 1995). Computer-based visualization techniques depend almost entirely on high-resolution graphics. For blind users, the problem of using such displays is vast (Fritz, Way et al. 1996). The access to and learning of mathematical information has been one of the greatest challenges for blind people, since abstract mathematical information is generally represented by graphs, equations, or other highly visual manners. According to Blindrafélag Íslands (Blind Association of Iceland), visually impaired students have serious difficulties in understanding abstract scientific information, which leads them to abandon studies of disciplines with a strong scientific component.

Most commonly used techniques for displaying computer information non-visually rely mainly on screen reading synthesizers operating with scanner and optical character recognition software (audio interface), and Braille cell displays and Braille embossing printers (tactile interface). To
visualize and item like a picture, blind people use tactile imaging. Tactile imaging is the process of turning a visual item into a touchable raised version of the image, so that this tactile rendition faithfully represents the original information. There are textual and pictorial components to such information (Fritz, Way et al. 1996). However, when representing the pictorial mathematical information, such as graph, drawing, and tables, it becomes very difficult for blind users to understand or learn by tactile imaging and screen reader. Such pictorial information has static and dynamic characteristics.

Perceiving information through hearing is not the most efficient way to work with human’s cognitive mechanism. Working in the auditory modality, there is often an inadequate external memory and poor control over the flow of information from that source (Stevens and Edwards 1994). People now study the possibility of using haptic modality for visually impaired users to communicate more interactively with computers. The technology of haptics has been developed and improved in the fields of robotics, virtual reality, and artificial intelligence. The haptic technology is now becoming mature enough so that people can focus on its application.

Several studies by Calle Sjöström have shown the potential of haptics to explore graphically represented computer information for visually impaired users (Sjöström and Rassmus-Gröhn 1999; Sjöström 2001;
Sjöström, Danielsson et al. 2002; Sjöström, Danielsson et al. 2002). He has examined if visually impaired users are able to describe and understand three-dimensional objects created in a virtual environment by using a haptic device (PAHToM™ by SensAble Technologies, Inc.). The results of that study were promising, as the percentage of persons that recognized the object was as high as 96% and always at least 76% (Magnusson, Rassmus-Gröhn et al. 2002). Stephen Brewster studied how haptics could be used to represent graphs of complex statistical and abstract mathematical data. Brewster and Yu showed that different types of graphs represented by audio and haptic feedback have more accessibility than a manually representation of paper, paste and string used for static graphs (Yu, Brewster et al. 2000; Yu and Brewster 2003).

Through the potential of haptics has been shown in experiments, the need of further investigation is considered in order to design the application in a real context. We need to research where and how these potentials are best suited and how other human senses can be utilized in order to interact with computer in most effective way for blind users. Objectives of this study are:

1. To investigate the effect of haptic cue on how accurate users perceive information without visualization. This result can support the objective 2.
2. To explore the use of haptic cue for blind students to learn mathematics. The goal of this study is to find the way to support blind students for independent learning of mathematics. We focus on a subject of geometry in mathematics and explore how haptic cue can be utilized to learn geometry.

3. To investigate how effectively blind students perceive computer-oriented information with audio and tactile cues. We investigate the currently used solutions to see how blind students access information presented by computer. These solutions to be assessed are screen-reader and Braille-display.

This thesis is divided into eleven chapters. The second chapter provides research purpose, objective and hypothesis and is followed by the third chapter which presents the background on the topics to be covered. In chapter four, the haptic prototype is described. Chapter five and six describe the design of research experiments. Chapter seven and eight provide the results of experiments, and chapter nine and ten present the analysis driven by research methods, and the final chapter presents conclusions along with recommendation to those who design the user interfaces for the blind computer users and open questions that are still left unanswered for the future research.
2 Research Objective and Hypothesis

2.1 Overall Research Purpose

This thesis investigates the potential benefits of multimodal user interface for blind computer users in learning process. There are currently several research studies about multimodal user interfaces for blind people (Fritz and Barner 1999; Oakley, McGee et al. 2000; Brewster and Yu 2002; Jacobson 2002) to access the computer presented information. Also, there are many research studies done and real-life applications developed so that blind students can access the abstract mathematical information. These two areas of works give great benefits to the community of blind people.

This study combines ideas of these two areas of work based on the concept of the Cognitive Load Theory established by Sweller (Sweller 1988). We seek effective and efficient ways of interaction with computer by utilizing more than one human modality without visualization. The study aims to emphasize representation of computer oriented information for mathematical learning for which blind students have difficulty in staying independent while learning and to achieve similar goal as sighted student does.
2.2 Research Objectives

Objective 1: To investigate the effect of haptic cue on accuracy of information perception

One of the objectives of this research is to investigate the accuracy of information perceived through haptic interaction without visualization. In order to use the haptic sense correctly in the multimodal interface, it is important to examine how accurately users are able to perceive virtually presented information through the haptic device. Accurate perception includes how well users are able to manipulate haptic device and to what degree.

Haptic device is often used to increase the sense of realism in a virtual environment. Many applications developed with haptic devices are often combined with visual cues. For example, surgical simulators for medical training, video games that allow players to feel and manipulate the tools, solids, or fluid, and the industrial applications integrated with CAD systems that allow designers to manipulate some mechanical components. The result supports the objective 2.

Objective 2: To explore the use of haptic cue for mathematics learning

The second objective is also related to haptic perception. Since one of
purposes in the study is to support the learning mathematics, the study explores what type of information can be presented haptically in order for blind students to learn mathematics independently. By examining the features of haptic device with users, the study seeks the way to support the mathematics learning for blind students. As it is described in the Chapter 3, some researchers worked on the graph representation of scientific data with haptic machine. We focus on a subject of geometry in mathematics and explore how haptic cue can be utilized to learn geometry.

**Objective 3: To investigate the effectiveness of information perception with audio and tactile sensory cue for learning**

The third objective is associated with the currently used solutions. The study examines the screen-reader and Braille-display to see whether users are perceiving information effectively so that they are able to perform common computer tasks efficiently. Each sensory cue has different ways of encoding the information and storing into human memory system. If each sensory is not used correctly to perceive different types of information, in this case audio and tactile information, the users are not able to interact with computers efficiently and effectively. This point is particularly important for learning process. Learning materials must be presented in an appropriate format to make optimal use of the different modalities.
2.3 Research Hypothesis

In this section, research hypotheses and evidences to build such hypothesis are described. These hypotheses are established based on four research objectives described in section 2.2.

**Objective 1 : To investigate the effect of haptic cue on accuracy of information perception**

**Hypothesis 1.** By using haptic sensory cue, people are able to conceptualize geometric properties of the simple familiar objects such as cube or sphere.

Sjöström et al. examined whether blind users were able to identify the objects with small details such as piano or chair. They claimed that small details such as legs of piano were difficult to be identified. They also used much simpler geometric objects as test samples, and their results showed that 80% of test participants were able to identify (Magnusson, Rassmus-Gröhn et al. 2002). We present the simple and familiar objects with different haptic effects and reference cue as test samples. Our participants describe the properties of objects verbally. Sjöström and his colleague asked their test participants to identify the geometric objects by letting participants select the real object (Magnusson, Rassmus-Gröhn et al. 2002). Because their test
participants chose the real object which they were able to touch both hands as their answer, we assume that participants might have been able to guess the object rather than identifying objects correctly. There might be data errors in identifying the properties of objects accurately in their study.

In order to provide the independent learning solution to blind students, it is important that they do not guess haptically represented information. Studying to what extent people are able to conceptualize the simple geometric object with their geometric properties and to manipulate the device in the virtual environment develop the idea of more concrete application for blind students.

**Hypothesis 2.** The different presentations (haptic effect and reference point) of the same geometric object will improve the perception of haptically presented information.

Sjöström developed the haptic interaction guidelines (Sjöström 2002). In this study we selected following six suggestions from the guidelines to test. 1) Use rounded corners rather than sharp ones, 2) Consider different representations to enhance different properties, 3) Make sure that the models are haptically accurate and work without vision, 4) Be aware that the orientation of the object matters, 5) Provide well defined and easy-to-find reference points in the environment, 6) Avoid objects
with small and scattered surfaces.

In order to provide information using haptic sense in optimized way, it is necessary to test different effects on same objects and to understand the level of perception. The advantage of virtual haptic force feedback over the real object is that effects can be controlled by application and more information can be sent to the users to improve the interaction to perceive the geometric properties.

By applying Sjöström’s guidelines to a prototype, we examine if different effects improve the haptic interaction for blind users.

**Objective 2: To explore the use of haptic cue for mathematics learning**

**Hypothesis 3.** Haptics have a potential for allowing students to conceptualize abstract mathematics information.

Brewser (Yu, Brewster et al. 2000; Yu and Brewster 2003) applied haptic interaction for graphs. Sjöström and his colleagues (Magnusson, Rassmus-Gröhn et al. 2002) also studied to present graphs haptically. Both parties presented graphs in two dimensional. In this study, we would like to seek other potential area to use haptic cue to present (teach) mathematical information. We assume that results from hypothesis 1 and 2 show the range of strength in haptic interaction. By
applying theses results into this hypothesis, the study examines whether haptic interaction can be used to understand more mathematics than just graphs.

We predict that the haptic technology will change not only the way visually impaired students study science, but also the way they use computers.

**Objective 3: To investigate the effectiveness of information perception with audio and tactile sensory cue for learning**

**Hypothesis 4.** Interacting with computer with single mode (tactile or audio) has limitation for perceiving study materials and each mode can be used in efficient and effective way to perceive different information or to perform different task.

Currently blind students use screen-reading and/or Braille-display to interact with computer when they study at school. Though these students are able to listen or feel to-be-learned information, it does not imply that they perceive this information in efficient way for effective learning. Sweller (Sweller 1988) states that overloaded information to the human memory affects human’s learning process. In order to provide the efficient learning material for blind students, it is important to understand how they perceive computer-oriented information through common computer tasks. Sweller’s cognitive load theory is often
applied for designing multimedia learning with utilizing more than one mode (Sweller, Merrienboer et al. 1998). Sweller et al. suggests combining different sensory cue to increase the efficiency and effectiveness in learning.

Since blind students do not use visual cues, which have the highest information perception bandwidth, we need to understand how tactile representation must work best for some particular type of information, and so do audio and haptic representations. The study investigates what type of information is best represented by what mode. This result helps blind students to learn more efficiently.
3 Literature Review

This chapter presents the literatures of the subjects at the core of this project.

3.1 Computer Interaction and Blind Users

Computers have become an indispensable tool in our life. Its technology and the Internet greatly affect our modern life style. This fact also applies to people with visual disabilities. Computer technology can offer them independence in learning, receiving information, communicating with others, and working. However, these potential benefits of computer technology are not fully exploited by people with visual disabilities. Blind computer users in Iceland rely mainly on screen reader software and/or Braille display to access information presented in graphical user interfaces. Neither the screen reader nor Braille display is a complete solution for accessing the graphical information in window-based systems. Window-based systems present not only a problem with graphical manipulated information, but also the spatial organization of graphical objects in the screen. Therefore, blind users commonly use the tab or keyboard to move through menus, icons, and text areas instead of using a pointing device such as a mouse. Though blind computer users are able to access information, which was impossible to
access before, the current trend of computer user interface and information presentation still hinders full access for these users.

3.2 Mathematical Learning and Blind Students

The mathematics discipline is the base for science and engineering study but it is one of the greatest challenges for blind students. Many blind students have much less chance to develop mathematical skills because of mathematical concepts and notations are represented graphically (Cahill and Boormans 1994). Osterhaus, a secondary mathematics teacher at the Texas School for the Blind and Visually Impaired (http://www.tsbvi.edu/index.htm), described that “one of the most difficult challenges has been teaching concepts involving three-dimensional objects. Three-dimensional problems are found in all levels of mathematics. They are often difficult for students with vision to understand, especially when trying to create 3-D objects in 2-D drawing. Such a drawing, even when tactually raised, makes little sense without a sighted perspective.” (Osterhaus). Another problem she described was the visualization of a three-dimensional graph to solve the equations in three variables. Most sighted students understand the concepts of equations by graph (Osterhaus).

There are several studies done in both educational and technical areas to solve these problems. Karshmer et al. tackled the problem of reading
and writing mathematics such as complex equations and they are developing tools which translate the Nemeth Code and regular mathematical expression into LaTex and vice versa (Karshmer, Pontelli et al. 1999). The Nemeth Code is used among blind students to read and write mathematics. Sribunruangrit et al developed the Braille Box to access the graphical information on a computer (Salisbuty, Conti et al. 2004). It allows users to explore the figure such as a black line on a white background and distinguish the colors by sound.

Although such tools are developed, the most commonly used solution for graphs is manually created with the rubber bands on a cork composition board mounted with a rubber mat, which has been embossed with a grid of 1/2-inch squares. For geometric objects, teachers describe the object verbally and use the real objects to touch.

### 3.3 Cognitive Load Theory

The Cognitive Load Theory established by Sweller (Sweller 1988) states that the best learning process occurs under conditions related to the human cognitive architecture. The learning structure is described from the human information processing system with long-term memory and working memory. Success or failure of a human learning process depends on how a person’s working memory and long-term memory are used. Working memory is the temporary information storage that
humans use to keep new information. This memory is used “as a kind of workbench of consciousness where humans examine, evaluate, transform, and compare different mental representations” (Wickens and Hollands 2000). Human working memory has limited capacity to hold information and its limit is controlled by time (Wickens and Hollands 2000). According to G. Miller (Miller 1956), the maximum capacity of human working memory is 7 ± 2 items (chunks of information) when people pay full attention to receive that number of items (Wickens and Hollands 2000). Main use of working memory is not holding the new information. Sweller et al. describe the common use of working memory as “to process information in the sense of organizing, contrasting, comparing, or working on that information in some manner…” (Sweller, Merrienboer et al. 1998). Sweller et al. concluded that “humans are probably only able to deal with two or three items of information simultaneously when required to process rather than merely information” (Sweller, Merrienboer et al. 1998). The new information held in the working memory is encoded into a more permanent form for the long-term memory. Learning refers to this encoding process.

Cognitive Load Theory states that human learning is optimized when the load on the working memory is kept minimized. Then changes to the long-term memory are facilitated in the best manner. The theory is best known for designing effective learning materials by using multimedia.
The learning materials have to be designed to keep the learner's cognitive load at a minimum during the learning process in order to increase the effectiveness of instruction (Sweller, Merrienboer et al. 1998).

The working memory model that Baddeley (Baddeley 1992) explains has two slave systems; for visual and spatial information, and for acoustic information. When instructional information is presented in both visual and auditory senses at the same time, the two slave systems are addressed and the capacity of working memory is used more efficiently (Baddeley 1992; Tabbers, Martens et al. 2004).

If some complex information, such as “He is a brother of my grandmother's husband's cousin's wife”, is expressed in a pictorial format like a family tree, it is much easier to understand and information held in the working memory is reduced. To-be-learned information with complicated elements (individual information) inflicts the cognitive load because humans remember elements at the same time when they develop the relationship between elements. This is one of the reasons that learners have difficulty to learn new material.

It is important to think about how the learning materials (instructional materials) need to be presented and designed in order for achieving effective learning. This issue becomes more crucial when learners have
some disabilities and rely on limited number of modalities to perceive information.

3.4 Reading vs. Listening to Information and Memory

Human memory has three phases which it undergoes: “acquisition (learning), storage (retention as affected by rehearsal, consolidation, and forgetting), and retrieval (recognition and recall)” (Wickens and Hollands 2000). These three phases interact with different memory systems. For educational learning, long-term memory and working-memory are considered most important. Information enters the human brain through its senses (visual, auditory, kinesthetic, tactile, and olfactory) and is temporarily stored for a short time in the short-term sensory store (STSS) (Wickens and Hollands 2000). The retention of information stored in the auditory STSS is longer than in the visual STSS (Wickelgren 1997). Information entered into the short-term sensory storage is not processed further unless the person pays attention to this information; this stage is called perception. In other words, unless humans pay attention to the incoming information through visual or audio sense, this information disappears (is forgotten) in a very short time.

Information entered auditory STSS tends to remain longer than in visual
STSS. However, this fact is not always true when humans pay attention to information receiving, for example during a lecture. Information is processed differently in working memory when humans pay attention to it rather than when they do not. We focus on the working memory process and the process receiving information with attentions since learning at school is receiving information with attention.

Listening to information is more difficult than visually scanning the same information due to the transient and temporal nature of audio (Arons 1997). The auditory sense can receive the incoming information from any direction and the auditory input has transitive nature. There is no similarity to visual sense which allows human to scan information and use the selective attention (Wickens and Hollands 2000). The auditory input, such as sentences or words, is heard and then ends. On the other hand, the written word remains on the page. Therefore, auditory processing requires holding on to a stimulus before it disappears. Auditory processing has pre-attentive characteristics which are more critical than in vision (Wickens and Hollands 2000). Since the information loss in working memory occurs when it is displaced by the presence of other information (new information that has been attended) (Pashler and Carrier 1996), receiving to-be-learned information by listening (especially during class lecture) is not effective unless the listener can control the amount of information listened to.
When humans retains information over longer periods of time, visually presented information (text) appears to be better than auditory for communicating verbal information (Najjar 1998). When verbal information is a list of words and instructions, visually presented information has been shown to be retained better than auditory (Severin 1967; Sewell and Moore 1980). Even in the case of four-line poems (Menne and Menne 1972) and nonsense syllables (Chan, Travers et al. 1965), the retention of information is better for visually presented format (Najjar 1998).

Graphically presented information such as drawings and images are frequently used to increase the effectiveness for learning process, because in many cases images can provide more information instantly than textual format. Several studies (Lieberman and Culpepper 1965; Paivo and Csapo 1973; Nelson, Reed et al. 1976) show that common objects presented as pictures are recognized and recalled better than their names presented in textual form (Najjar 1998).

Reading is an interaction between human and printed information. The reader can select where to read and what to read within the written information. But, listening to the same information is a passive process. Unlike listening to information, eye movement over the printed information catches the paragraph separation, size of texts, words and sentences. At the same time, eye movement organizes printed
information.

3.5 Haptic Interface

Haptics is the sense of touch which includes both tactile perception and kinesthetic or proprioceptive perception (Fritz and Barner 1999). Tactile perception pertains to the cutaneous sense but more specifically the sensation of pressure rather than temperature or pain. Kinesthetic perception pertains to the feeling of motion relating to sensations originating in muscles, tendons and joints. Proprioceptive perception is the sensory information about the state of the body including cutaneous, kinesthetic, and vestibular sensations (Oakley, McGee et al. 2000).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Physical Mechanism</th>
<th>Visual</th>
<th>Audio</th>
<th>Tactile</th>
<th>Kinesthetic</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Light wave</td>
<td>Sound wave</td>
<td>Surface texture</td>
<td>Force, length, velocity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Information Flow &amp; Characteristic</td>
<td>Unidirectional Passive</td>
<td>Unidirectional Passive</td>
<td>Unidirectional Passive</td>
<td>Bidirectional Active</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Information Bandwidth</td>
<td>$10^6$</td>
<td>$10^4$</td>
<td>$10^1 - 10^2$</td>
<td>20-30 Hz</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Note: the table was adapted from Fritz and Barner (Fritz and Barner 1999)

Webopedia (http://www.webopedia.com) describes that “Haptics in the computer study is the science of applying these perceptions and control the interaction with computer applications by using haptic input/output devices”. The technology of haptics has been developed and improved
in the fields of robotics, virtual reality, and artificial intelligence. Most Haptics devices are robotic equipments, which provide the kinesthetic force feedback. As an input device, it tracks the user's physical manipulation and as an output device, it provides the touch sensation coordinated with events in the screen. By utilizing this kinesthetic force feedback, users are able to perceive the information such as shape, texture, and gravity of three-dimensional objects in the virtual environment. Most Haptics study have been focused on the development of hardware in the research institution, but in recent years, commercially produced Haptics device made it possible to focus on the application side of Haptics study. In this study, the PHANToM™ Haptic device from SensAble Technology Inc. (http://www.sensable.com) is used.

**PHANToM™ Haptic Device and GHOST SDK™**

There are several haptic device models from SensAble Technologies Inc. In this study, the PHANToM™ Desktop Device is used. This device has the Encode Stylus which provides 6-degree-of-freedom positional sensing (http://www.sensable.com). The user holds the pen-like stylus which provides force feedback in x, y and z coordinates, and kinesthetic motion of yaw (left and right), pitch (up and down), and roll (360 degrees). The force is received by users based on one point interaction, which means that users feel the object in the three-dimensional virtual environment as touching the real object with a point of pencil.
Haptic applications with the PHANToM™ Desktop device are developed with the GHOST SDK™ (General Haptics Open Software Toolkit). The GHOST SDK™ is a C++ object-oriented toolkit that allows developers to create haptic environments, which includes generating the haptic scenes, manipulating the properties of the scene and objects within the scene, and controlling the resulting effects on or by the haptic device. The GHOST SDK™ does not generate the graphical representations of the objects, but provides the graphic call-back mechanisms to facilitate integration between the haptic and graphic domain (SensAble Technologies Inc.). In order to generate the graphical representations of objects, the OpenGL graphical package is used in this project. OpenGL is a software interface to graphics hardware for producing interactive three-dimensional applications and is designed to be platform independent.

![Figure 3.1 PHANToM™ Desk Top haptic device](image)

Figure 3.1 PHANToM™ Desk Top haptic device
General Principles of Haptic rendering

The most important feature of haptic interaction modality is the bidirectionality. With audio and visual channels, information and energy flow into unidirection which means from machine to the user. However, the haptic modality exchanges information and energy between the user and machine (Salisbuty, Conti et al. 2004). As it is seen in Table 1, the perception bandwidth in haptic modality is low (Fritz and Barner 1999) but if the characteristic of bidirectionality and the dynamic nature of the kinesthetic sense are utilized in proper way, it might be possible to modify the visual perception for the blind users.

In the case of the PHANToM™ Desktop device, when a user manipulates the pen-like stylus of a haptic device, the position and orientation of stylus are detected by encoder. As a user moves the real stylus, the simulated stylus moves and if the simulated stylus collides with the object in the virtual environment, the reaction force is calculated. This force is sent back to the user through the haptic device. There are two types of haptic interactions. One is point-based and the other one is ray-based interaction. PHANToM™ Desktop Device in this project is a point-based interaction device and only the end point of haptic device interacts with the virtual object. This end point is called as the end effector point or haptic interface point (HIP). The location of HIP is calculated in the coordinate system of the haptic mechanism via sensor,
and then transformed to the coordinate system in the virtual environment (VE) where the object resides. By using the stylus position information, haptic rendering algorithms compute the appropriate output force. The computed force depends on the requirement of haptic applications.

Haptic Rendering Algorithms

Salisbury et al. state that “haptic-rendering algorithm computes the correct interaction forces between the haptic interface representation inside the virtual environment and the virtual objects populating the environment” (Salisbury, Conti et al. 2004). The haptic rendering algorithm that is described by Salisbury et al. is shown in Figure 3.2. There are three main subalgorithms: collision-detection algorithm, force-response algorithm, and control algorithm (Salisbury, Conti et al. 2004).

Collision-detection algorithm computes the collision information between the simulated stylus and virtual object such as where and how they collide. Force-response algorithm uses the collision state and position information to compute values such as force and torque vectors (Salisbury, Conti et al. 2004). The control algorithm minimizes the error between the ideal and applicable forces (Salisbury, Conti et al. 2004). The simulation engine uses the same interaction forces as sent to the control algorithm from force response algorithm to compute the effects on virtual objects (Salisbury, Conti et al. 2004). In order to feel the
object in the virtual reality as real as possible, improvement of algorithms in the haptic rendering component is an active research area.

**Computation of Contact Force**

Figure 3.3 shows how the surface contact point is used in order to compute the contact force for the PHANToM haptic device (SensAble Technologies Inc.). This is also called the 1-DOF (direction of freedom) interaction (Salisbury, Conti et al. 2004). In this thesis, only this calculation is used. In the visually representation of the object, the user sees the position of simulated stylus on the virtual object only as if the position is at the surface contact point. Let the surface point be $P_s$ and the actual stylus point $P_a$. The force is calculated by using the Hooke's spring law. Force $F$ is a multiplication of constant $k$ which is known as a spring constant and $x$ which is a displacement of the spring from its natural length, such that $F = kx$. The $x$ in this case is $P_s - P_a$. 


Haptic Rendering Algorithms (Salisbury, Conti, and Barbagli 2004) *

*Note: Figure is adopted from Salisbury et al. ((Salisbury, Conti, and Barbagli 2004).

- **Simulation component** computes the virtual environment's behavior over time.
- **Haptic rendering component** computes the virtual environment's force responses.
- **Visual rendering component** computes the virtual environment's graphics. If sound is used along with graphics, the sound rendering is combined in here together.

The output from the Haptic Device to the Collision detection algorithms is position information of haptic interface point (Salisbury, Conti, and Barbagli 2004). Collision detection algorithms output the information about collision between the object and the stylus, and the position. Force response algorithms output the ideal force. Control algorithms output the force to the user.
3.7 Haptic Interface for Blind Users

Potential use of haptic technologies for blind users has been studied by several researchers. Sjöström (Sjöström and Rassmus-Gröhn 1999) at Certec in Sweden has done intensive investigation of potential application areas by utilizing the PHANToM™ haptic device for blind users (Sjöström and Grohn R. K.; Sjöström and Rassmus-Gröhn 1999; Sjöström 2001; Magnusson, Rassmus-Gröhn et al. 2002; Sjöström, Danielsson et al. 2002; Sjöström, Danielsson et al. 2002). He has produced many various applications including graph representation of mathematical information. He investigated how blind people orient and navigate the virtual haptic environment, explored possible solutions to access the graphical user interface through haptic interaction, and
studied how different types of graphical information such as maps, floor plans, and pictures could be accessed through haptic interaction. Through testing prototype application with blind users, he presented the guidelines for haptic interaction design (Sjöström 2002). The purpose of his guidelines is: 1) to develop usable, efficient, learnable and user-acceptable design of products, 2) to evaluate the existing designs, and 3) to assist users to provide the feedbacks from their experiences. In the guidelines, he classified the haptic interaction design into five aspects: 1) virtual objects, 2) navigation and overview in the virtual environment, 3) context of virtual objects, 4) modalities, and 5) learning.

Brewster and Yu studied the haptic interface for blind people in a much narrower area. They focused on the graph representations of mathematical and business data. They combined force-feedback, synthesized speech and non-speech audio to improve the access to graphs and tables for blind users (Yu, Brewster et al. 2000; Brewster and Yu 2002; Brewster and Yu 2003; Brewster and Yu 2003; Yu and Brewster 2003). They also compared two different force-feedback devices: PHANToM™ from SensAble Technology Inc. and WingMan from Logitech™ (Yu and Brewster 2003). They investigated the practical use of haptic interaction, and developed a web-based haptic application to create bar and line graphs with a WingMan™ mouse (Brewster and Yu 2002). There is a big difference in price between
PHANToM™ and WingMan™ (PHANToM costs almost 100 times more). Their solution in the work (Brewster and Yu 2002) with popular web-based application and low-cost device is a rare example of providing a real-life application. They also investigated the role of haptics in multimodal interfaces (synthesized speech, non-speech sound, and haptics). The result showed that the role of haptics depends on the information representation. Moreover, “the nature of the application and the dimensions of the information being presented determine the amount of haptic input and the way it is used” (Yu and Brewster 2003). The information can be perceived by users more directly in audio than in haptics (Yu and Brewster 2003).

### 3.8 Screen Reader and Braille Display

The most commonly used solution for blind people to access computer presented information is screen-reading software. The screen-reading software is loaded into the memory at system start-up. Screen-reading software is used with a speech synthesizer or/and a Braille display. The role of screen-reading software is to capture the contents displayed on the screen including graphic object labeling and send this information to speech synthesizer or Braille display. The screen reading software takes the raw text including numbers and abbreviation to converts into written-out word equivalents (text normalization). After text
normalization process, it assigns phonetic transcriptions to each word, and divides and marks the text into prosodic units, such as phrases and sentences. The screen reading software combines the phonetic transcriptions and prosody information into symbolic linguistic representation to synthesizer or Braille display (http://www.absoluteastronomy.com/encyclopedia/S/Sp/Speech_synthes is.htm#).

The screen-reading system used by blind students in Iceland is called Dolphin Supernova. This screen-reading software reads or spells out any text displayed on screen including menu and emails. It also reads icons and Internet graphics labels and announces font and style information (e.g. different types of text style like title and body, or color). It reads as typing by character or/and by word, and has selection of 12 languages besides Icelandic as an output language. The software does not automatically detect the language difference but this feature is very useful for students when they explore the Internet and learn foreign languages. Without this feature, for example, the screen reader reads out English web contents with Icelandic phonetic rules or vice versa. This software also allows users to select a different type of voice and edit speed and pitch (http://www.dolphinuk.co.uk). Dolphine Supernova outputs screen-readed information onto Braille-display. The Braille-display output on-screen text on a row of 40 to 80 characters formed by
groups of 6 pins.

Dolphin Oceanic Ltd., producer of Dolphine SuperNova, developed its own synthesizer and uses formant synthesis technology. There are two major technologies in synthesized speech, concatenative and formant synthesis. Formant synthesis does not use any real human speech sound at runtime. Sound output of synthesized speech is created by an acoustic model (artificial speech). The speech sound is not natural and somehow robotic sound, but this method of technology is highly reliably intelligible. Even at high speeds, it can produce the speech without glitches. Also, a formant synthesizer is much smaller program than a concatenative synthesizer since the latter uses the recorded voice of humans and patches this sound together; resulting is a quite large voice database. Dolphin Oceanic Ltd. describes the formant-based system as “it has total control over all aspects of the output speech, a wide variety of prosody or notation can be output, conveying not just questions and statements, but a variety of emotions and tones of voice” (http://www.dolphinuk.co.uk).

3.9 Accessibility

Participants in the European Union initiated a project called eEurope to create a more sophisticated information society. One of the central issues in this project is e-Accessibility, which is to develop a more
accessible information society for a wide range of people. Accessibility issues have become more important than ever as computer population increased, and many corporations and institutions have developed accessibility guidelines used for their products. In February 2003, ISO published its first edition of guidance on accessibility for human-computer interface. “ISO/TS 16071 – Guidance on accessibility for human-computer interface” (ISO 16071) is reviewed along with the discussion about the demand of accessibility in the society.

**Demand of Accessibility in IT Society**

**eEurope**

As a strategy of economic, social and environmental renewal among participants in the European Union, the eEurope 2002 Action Plan was endorsed. eEurope’s long-term goal is to create an information society in Europe and to develop a knowledge-based economy. The eEurope 2002 Action Plan was carried out as creating the foundation of the knowledge based society. One of the examples is the increase of Internet connectivity, which supplies the infrastructure to carry out certain activities of the future information society.

**eAccessibility**

The Action Plan was aimed at the inclusion of all citizens such as
elderly, young, and disabled people into this Information Society as it can be read from its subtitle “an Information Society for All”. What is the Information Society for All? One of the emphases in the Action Plan was e-Accessibility – Improving disabled people’s access to the Knowledge Based Society (European Union, Information Society (http://europa.eu.int/information_society/eeurope/2005/index_en.htm). Its aim is to include disabled people more easily into the society and its labor market by developing an accessible information society.

Another important and noticeable subject in the Action Plan 2005 is to put users at the center of eEurope society. In the IT society, human factors play more important roles than ever as seen in eEurope project. Accessibility issues are not any special steps during the development of new software products, but need to be a part of the software development cycle like the usability issues.

**Accessibility Standard and Guidelines**

In recent years, as the number of web sites and computer users has increased with the improvement of network connection, the accessibility issues have increasingly been raised.

The International Standards Organizations (ISO) has released its first edition of the technical specification, ISO/TS 16071 - “Ergonomics of human-system interaction – Guidance on accessibility for human-
computer interfaces”, in 2003. ISO/TS 16071 is the guidance on accessibility for human-computer interface and has developed for use by designers of development tools and style guides, user-interface designers, developers, buyers, and evaluators (ISO/TS 16071).

Prior to this release, many organizations, companies, and institutions have published their own accessibility guidelines and standard. The best known standard is “Web content accessibility guideline” by W3C. IBM issued accessibility guidelines for general software, Web applications, Java applications, and hardware. Apple Computer committed to the accessibility issues through strengthening its operating system by referring to Universal Access features. Macromedia issues some accessibility solution for creating Flash content and for web applications working with its products on the website. Sun Microsystems works on Java accessibility issues and some assistive technology products including a variety of alternative keyboards and pointing devices.

The importance of ISO/TS 16071 is providing the consistency into the huge amount of already issued accessibility guidelines and topics. This is the general guideline to cover the accessibility issues in interactive systems (ISO/TS 16071). ISO/TS 16071 is unique compared with other guidelines or standard, which many organizations and companies issued by focusing on some particular interactive system such as Web content.
ISO/TS 16071 approaches accessibility as an extension of usability. Even though the web page is readable by the screen reader, if it is very difficult to accomplish intended task, this web page is not accessible for those using the screen reader.

The guidelines become practical when usable by the audience whom this guideline addresses. Audience may not be professionals in the usability engineering nor accessibility topics. If considering the non-professionals in accessibility, vocabularies in the guideline may be unclear. The wording in ISO/TS 16071 is very carefully put together so that it does not address any particular design or products. It is understandable the way the vocabularies are used since this guideline's purpose is to provide the wide range of accessibility solutions to interactive systems, including office applications, web pages and multimedia. On the other hand, this fact makes it difficult for some kinds of audience to follow the contexts of guideline. It may not be easy to address the guideline to wide range of audience and products. The contents become so general that it is not easy to use as guidance for an ordinary programmer.

The standard has to be practical and must be widely used by the wide range of audience addressed by the standard. ISO/TS 16071 tries to cover many topics in accessibility but there needs to be more depth in each guideline in the section 7. Also, the guideline must cover the
situation that multiple accessibility features applied for different users who have different accessibility demand. For example, when the web application is developed, the developers often do not know so much about what types of users will see and use their products. It might be used for both visually impaired users and auditory impaired users at the same time.

Also to make standard more practical, the standard way to assess the accessibility is needed to ensure that the products guarantee the accessibility for certain levels for consumers. This will benefit the designers and developers of interactive systems because the test procedure keeps the level of accessibility quality.
4 Haptic Prototype

4.1 Introduction

The prototype application was developed with openGL for graphical rendering and GHOST SDK for haptic rendering along with C++ in Linux environment (Fedora Core 1). The prototype application consists of three main parts, which represent the two simple geometrical objects, sphere and cube, in three different ways. These objects are presented as follows: 1) Objects residing in the free space with the default force effect which is calculated by GHOST SDK, 2) Objects residing in the closed space (i.e. bounded space with virtual walls) with the default force effect which is calculated by GHOST SDK, 3) Objects with magnetic effects residing in the free space. In addition to three representations of sphere and cube, the following geometric objects are presented as well: 1) Cone residing in the bounded space with default force effect, 2) Cylinder residing in the bounded space with default force effect, 3) Torus residing in the bounded space with default force effect, 4) Cube in rotated position residing in the bounded space with default force effect.
4.2 Objects reside in the free space

When object reside in the free space as seen figure 4.1 and 4.2, the user feels the object when a collision occurs, otherwise the user can move the stylus around in the virtual space freely and even off from the screen space.

4.3 Objects reside in the bounded space
When objects reside in the bounded space as seen in Figures 4.3 and 4.4, the user is able to move the stylus only inside this bounded area. The area is bounded by five walls; top, bottom, back, right and left. It is constructed like a box shape except the front wall. The box shape is open at the front so that the user moves the stylus as if they insert it into the box. The five walls restrict the movement of stylus. The big difference from ones in the section 4.2 is that the size of space surrounding the object is much smaller in this case.

4.4 Objects with magnetic force effect reside in the free space

The special force effect is applied in this case. The graphics of objects are same as Figure 4.1 and 4.2. The user can move the stylus freely until the stylus collide with objects. As soon as the collision occurs, the stylus is stuck with the object. The user is able to move the stylus only on the surface of objects. The computation of the magnetic force effect for the sphere is based on the sample program provided by SensAble Inc.
4.5 The computation of magnetic force effects for a sphere

The main concept used in the computation is the Hooke's spring law. The graphically presented object is surrounded by the sphere shaped boundary. Inside the boundary, the force is applied. The radius of boundary sphere is slightly larger than the graphically presented sphere. The force is applied as soon as the virtual stylus entered the boundary sphere. The simulated magnetic attraction is toward the center of sphere. Computation is done by using direction and magnitude of vectors. The steps of computation are as follows;

1. Detect haptic interface position (HIP), the position of the virtual stylus in the coordinate system.

2. Calculate the magnitude of vector $v (\|v\|)$. $v$ starts from HIP to the center of sphere.

3. If HIP is inside the inner sphere, the direction vector ($v /\|v\|$) is toward outside. And if HIP is between inner sphere and outer sphere, the direction vector ($v /\|v\|$) is toward the center of sphere. Using Hooke’s laws, the anchor of the spring is the center of the sphere, and the length of the spring is $r1$. If the magnitude of vector from HIP to the
center is larger than \( r1 \), the effect is as if the spring is pulled and the spring tries to pull back toward the center. If the magnitude of this vector is smaller than \( r1 \), the effect is as if the spring is pushed and the spring tries to stretch toward outside.

4. Force = \( k * (\|v\| - r1) * v / \|v\| \) where \( k \) is a constant integer.

Figure 4.5 Concept of magnetic sphere
4.6 The computation of magnetic force effects for a cube

Again the Hooke's spring law is applied. The graphically presented object is surrounded by the cube shaped boundary. The side of boundary cube is slightly larger than the graphically presented cube. The force is applied as soon as the virtual stylus enters the boundary cube. The simulated magnetic attraction is toward one of the axes. The steps of computation are as follows;

1. **Detect haptic interface position (HIP), the position of the virtual stylus in the coordinate system.**

2. **Compute the closest plane of the cube from the position HIP.**

3. **Compute the normal vector \( n \) to the axis orthogonal to this plane.**

4. **Compute the intersection point on the plane with vector \( n \) (see figure 4.7).**

5. **If HIP is inside the inner cube, the direction vector \( (n / \|n\|) \) is toward outside. And if HIP is between inner cube and outer cube, the direction vector \( (n / \|n\|) \) is toward the axis which is parallel to the plane closest to HIP. Using Hooke’s laws, the anchor of the spring is**
the axis of coordinate system, and the length of the spring is a half of the side \( s \) of cube. If the magnitude of vector from HIP to the axis is larger than \( s/2 \), the effect is as if the spring is pulled and the spring tries to pull back toward the axis. If the magnitude of this vector is smaller than \( s/2 \), the effect is as if the spring is pushed and the spring tries to stretch toward outside. The length from the intersection point (see Figure 4.6) to the y-axis is \( s/2 \).

6. Force = \( k \times (\|n\| - s/2) \times n / \|n\| \) where \( k \) is the constant integer.
4.7 Cylinder, Cone, Torus, and Rotated Cube

Cone, cylinder, torus, and rotated cube use the default force. The force is sent when the virtual stylus collide with these object. Rotated cube is exactly same as one in Figure 4.4, except it is rotated 45 degrees around x, y, z-axes. Torus has a donuts shape and users receive the force only when the virtual stylus is on the ring shape.
5 Experiment with Audio and Tactile Mode

5.1 Introduction

Visually impaired students in Iceland use screen-reading synthetic speech software (audio) and Braille-display (tactile). This experiment assesses the way they use these solutions to accomplish certain tasks by using the usability test techniques, and finds areas that need improvement or can be assisted by some other methods. By assessing the usability of each tactile and audio mode, the research hypotheses 4 in Section 2.3 - Interacting with computer with single mode (tactile or audio) has limitation for perceiving study materials, but each mode can be used in its suitable presentation of information. – is investigated. The purpose of these tests is to collect information about how blind users work with computers in the Windows environment with the screen-reading software, with synthetic speech, or with the Braille-display output and whether they experience difficulties performing simple and more complex tasks. The rest of chapter 5 describes the following: the experiment method, the problems to be tested, participants, the test environment, tasks to be tested, roles of test personnel, and evaluation measurement.
5.2 Research Methods

Several usability test techniques are used. The usability method used is the modification of think-aloud method called reflection method (Boren and Ramey 2000; Baauw and Markopoulos 2004) combining together with questionnaires, a short interview, and user observation. The most common usability test is combinations of two or more methods with think-aloud method (Nielsen 1993). It is concluded that think-aloud method is not practical for following reasons:

Participants listen to audio extensively through screen-reading synthetic speech output.

Participants rely on only one sensory cue to interact with computers. It is assumed that more concentration is required with one non visual sensory cue to interact with computer since the bandwidth of tactile and audio sensory is much smaller than visual sensory (Fritz and Barner 1999). Therefore, think-aloud method may increase extra mental load during performing tasks.

Reflection method conducts a short interview to the test participants immediately after the completion of each task and test. This short interview is as effective as the think-aloud method to gather the information (Boren and Ramey 2000; Nielsen, Clemmensen et al. 2002;
Baauw and Markopoulos 2004) on what the test participant is thinking during the test session.

In this study, we are interested in collecting the subjective data via a questionnaire using 5-point liker-type scales and yes/no response. The questionnaire asks specific questions about users' own task performance. The short interview is developed based on the observation during the test session. Any user’s verbal comments and physical expression that indicate user’s emotion during the test session are noted for interview.

During the usability testing, users’ performance is video recorded in order to collect more detailed information on their performance.
5.3 Design of Experiment

5.3.1 Overview

![Diagram showing the overview of the experiment with audio and tactile mode.]
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Figure 5.1 Overview of Experiment with Audio and Tactile Mode
5.3.2 Problem Statement and Usability Test Objectives

The goal of the two usability tests is to gain data relevant for user-interface designers. The designer needs to understand how different human modes can be utilized in user interfaces to increase the accessibility for blind people. Therefore, these tests collect information about the correlation between human mode and computer interaction by using the screen-reader or Braille-display. The test assesses the hindrances and aids of the screen-reading software synthetic speech and the Braille-display as well.

5.3.3 Resources

Test Participants

Three blind test participants are selected from school age children. Age range is from 13 years-old to 18 years-old. They have experiences in working with the screen-reading software speech synthesizer and Braille-display. They use computers as their learning assistive tool on a daily basis.

Test Environment

The testing is conducted at their most comfortable place, such as at their home or school. Since visually disable people have difficulty in adjusting to a new environment (places), participants must work at the most
familiar place. Also, at their home or school, all the necessary facilities are available to them. Working with these tools, they need a separate number keyboard if they are not attached with the regular keyboard and speakers or headphone, which are all available at their computer-working place.

The usability testing site must be furnished with the following equipment.

- A desk on which computer equipment can be set up to perform test tasks
- Intel P 400 MHz equivalent or higher, 125 MB free hard disk space, 128 MB Memory or higher
- Sound Blaster compatible sound card
- PCI or AGP graphics card
- Windows 98 or later version of Windows
- Microsoft Internet Explorer v5.5 or later
- Microsoft Office 98 or later version
- Any e-mail software that participants are familiar with
- Number keyboard
- Internet connection
- Speakers or a headphone

The testing site accommodates a video camera and the test personnel.
Test Personnel

There are two test personnel for each test session. One monitors and documents ongoing test activities. The other one reads out the test instructions, task scenarios, and pre-test, after-task and after-test questionnaire. Test personnel are not allowed to speak while a test participant is performing test tasks, unless a participant needs some assistants from them. They record observations such as user’s verbal comments and facial/physical expressions which may indicate user’s emotions. After each task, test personnel give out a prepared questionnaire and conduct a short interview which is developed based on user observations. After the testing, test personnel reviews the videotape recording and records further observations which indicate user’s performance level and emotions.

5.3.4 Test Sessions

Test Administration

The evaluator has a minimum background to accomplish the test tasks and knowledge about screen-reader and Braille-display. The test personnel provide the experimental treatment. The test participants receive the test scenario by the diskette and/or one of test personnel reads out the test scenario. The test participants listen to or touch the task instructions with the screen-reader or Braille-display, and may ask
any questions to clarify directions. When the test participant is ready to perform a task, the test personnel starts the clock to measure the time to accomplish the task. After the completion of the test session and the review of the videotape recording, it is where an evaluation is completed.

**Experimental Treatment**

The test participants are given a general orientation of the test environment and the flow of the test sessions.

**General Conduct of Test Session**

**Video Recording:** The purpose of the video recording is to capture the test session on tape so that it can later be evaluated.

**Pre-Questionnaire:** Pre-questionnaire (Appendix A; Appendix B) is given to each test participant in order to find the background information prior to the task performance. Questions are focused on their experience in using screen-reader or Braille-display with particular applications. This information is combined together with the result of the after-task and after-test interview to evaluate the test results.

**After-Task and After-Test Questionnaire:** Prepared questions are asked prior to the short interview. After-task questionnaire uses yes/no answer, and after-task questionnaire uses likely-scaled in 5 levels and subjective answers.
**After-Task and After-Test Interview:** Since this test uses the reflection-method, test personnel conduct a short interview immediately after each task and test are completed. We are interested in collecting the subjective data from the participant’s experience. The interview is developed based on user observations during the session. Questions in interview focus on level of user’s emotion and difficulties during the test session.

**Test Time:** The approximate test session is no more than two hours unless participants wish to quit before they complete a test.

**Post-test Review:** After the test session is completed, the tester reviews the videotape record of the test session and records any noticeable issues.

**5.3.4 Task Scenario**

Tasks to be evaluated during these testing are selected from ones that are thought to be most common and frequently performed by the most general computer users. Results from performing these tasks evaluate the hypothesis 4 in section 2.3 - Interacting with computer with single mode (tactile or audio) has limitation for perceiving study materials and each mode can be used in efficient and effective way to perceive different information or to perform different task.
Tasks to be tested

Sending e-mail: The participant uses any e-mail software that he/she is familiar with. The receiver’s e-mail address is provided.

Organizing contacts in the e-mail software: The participant uses the same e-mail software as the one used in the previous task. The participant adds a new e-mail address, name of the recipient, post address, and a phone-number into the already existing contact list. And after adding this new contact, the participant searches for this contact from the list. This task is performed only for the usability test with screen-reader.

Editing Microsoft Words document: The test participant works with Microsoft Word™. The test participant opens the existing file, edits (write new texts, delete, and change texts) the particular file provided, and saves the edited file.

Searching for particular information on the Internet: The test participant works with some Internet search engine site. First he/she needs to connect to the Internet and open the Microsoft Internet Explorer™. The test participant may use any search engine site that they are familiar with. The topic of information to be searched for is provided in the scenario. This task is performed only for the usability test with screen-reader.
Searching particular information from a specific web-site: The test participant retrieves requested information from a particular web-site.

Solving mathematics problem requiring logical thinking: The test participant solves mathematical essay problem. The problem is selected from the Icelandic 5th grade text book. The problem is in simple format with at least 10 sentences.

Solving mathematics problem requiring calculation: The test participant solves mathematical essay problem. The length of problem is about 30 sentences and problems require calculating the several different items. The problem is selected from the Icelandic 5th grade text book.

5.3.5 Quality Characteristic and Metrics

This experiment collects data based on the usability metric described in the ISO 9241, which consist of the aspects effectiveness, efficiency, and satisfactions. In this study, we measure these aspects by evaluating the specific target users after performing tasks by using a computer as an assistive tool. In addition to three usability aspects, we evaluate the level of user’s mental load working only with screen-reader or Braille-display. The summary of quality characteristic and metrics is described in Table 5.1.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Quality Characteristic</th>
<th>Metrics</th>
<th>Instrument</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Efficiency</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temporary Efficiency</td>
<td>a. The time to accomplish each task</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level of Mental Load</td>
<td>a. Level of easiness to work only with screen-reader/Braille-display to accomplish each task</td>
<td>Ate-Q1, Ate-Q3, Ate-Q5, Ate-Q4, Ate-Q8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>b. Level of easiness to use screen-reader/Braille-display</td>
<td>Ate-Q2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Effectiveness</td>
<td>a. Number of tasks completed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Satisfaction</td>
<td>a. Level of satisfaction with own performance for each task</td>
<td>Ate-Q 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>b. Level of satisfaction with the time spent for each task</td>
<td>Ate-Q2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: * Ata-Q = After-task questionnaire, ** Ate-Q = After-test questionnaire, see Appendix C.

**Efficiency:** To see how efficiency relates to the level of effort to accomplish the task. Since the study is interested in collecting data to see the level of user's mental load, efficiency is classified into two aspects: temporal efficiency and level of mental load (human efficiency) (Bevan and Macleod 1994). Temporal efficiency is measured by the time to complete the task. The level of mental load is measured by user's responses in the after-test questionnaire which indicate the level of easiness to work only with screen-reader or Braille-display and the level of easiness to use screen-reader or Braille-display.

**Effectiveness:** To see how effectiveness relates to the target goals of
the task with accuracy and achieved completeness. To measure effectiveness, we count the number of task completed

**Satisfaction:** To see how satisfaction relates to the comfort and overall acceptability of the task. All subjective data is used to validate this point.
6 Experiment with Haptic Mode

6.1 Introduction

This section describes the experiment relating to haptic cue. The experiment assesses the way that users experience the virtual environment only with haptic cues. The usability test technique is applied to find evidence for hypothesis:

1. By using haptic sensory cue, people are able to conceptualize geometric properties of the simple familiar objects such as cube or sphere,

2. The different presentations (haptic effect and reference point) of the same geometric object will improve the perception of haptically presented information, and haptics have a potential for allowing students to conceptualize abstract mathematics information.

The rest of this chapter describes the experiment method, the problems to be tested, participants, the test environment, tasks to be tested, evaluation measurement, and experiment results.
6.2 Research Methods

The usability test techniques are used to collect experimental data. The experiment is focused on collecting the subjective data by conducting short interviews combined with user observations and a questionnaire right after the completion of each task and a whole test. The reflection-method for use in experiment with screen-reader and Braille-display described in Section 5.2 is used. Because this experiment does not allow users to use the visual cue to interact with the computer, it is assumed that the level of participants’ concentration may be high and the think-aloud method may interrupt their concentration.

There is one modification to the original reflection method. Normally during a usability test session, the tester speaks as little as possible and does not make a conversation with the test participant. According to Hanna et al. (Hanna, Risden et al. 1997), children tend to ask for help when they are not certain what they are doing. This fact applies to blind people as well. The blind students who participate in this experiment normally receive constant assistance from teachers during lectures at their school. They are used to ask questions whenever they are not sure about what is going on. Therefore, this experiment method allows participants to ask questions during the session.

Test participants perform certain tasks given by test personnel to
conceptualize the virtual information provided. They answer the questions using liker-type scales and respond to short interview. The questionnaire asks specific questions about details of the user’s performance and perception. The factors measured in the questionnaire are: how well they are able to control, to what degree, how confusing when they feel virtual objects, and how real they feel the virtual objects.
6.3 Design of Experiment

6.3.1 Overview

Figure 6.1 Overview of experiment with haptic mode
6.3.2 Problems Statement and Test Objectives

The goal of the usability test is to obtain data addressing to user-interface designers concerning haptic mode to interact with virtual environment. Such data involves how well and to what degree of accuracy users are able to conceptualize haptically presented information. Moreover, the test assesses the hindrances and potential aides of haptic devices for blind users through performing the following tasks: identify different shapes of geometrical objects, manipulate the device to touch different parts of objects, compare different effects and environment setting that objects reside. Test participants answer a pre-test questionnaire to collect background information about computer use and computer game experience, after-task and after-test questionnaire, and a short interview immediately after each task and the whole test to extract detailed and specific feedback about issues described above.

6.3.3 Resources

Test Participants

Five test participants are selected. Age range is from 11 years old to 55 years old, but all but one of them are students. Two participants are sighted computer users and participate in the test with a blindfold while the rest of participants are blind from childhood. Four out of five participants have experienced interactive computer games with special
computer controllers such as joy-sticks. Three out of four participants experienced in computer games play games on an every day basis. All participants have computer experience and use computer every day for their study, work, and entertainment. None of participants has experience the haptic device previously.

**Test Environment**

The testing was conducted in various places where participants felt comfortable and without noise. The selection of a test place is particularly important when testing visually disable people since they usually have difficulties to be comfortable with new places (http://www.ittatc.org/technical/access-ucd/ut_conduct.php). Since the majority of participants are school-aged children, the testing site was carefully selected to make them comfortable. The usability testing site was furnishes with following equipment.

- PHANToM haptic desktop device,
- GHOST SDK v. 4.0 for PC platforms running Red Hat Linux operating system, version 9 or above,
- glut and glut-development package for openGL,
- Intel 300 MHz Pentium processor, 30 MB free hard disk space, 64 MB RAM, and
- Hardware graphic accelerator.
The testing site accommodates a tape-recorder and test personnel.

**Test personnel**

There is one test personnel who observes the test session. The test personnel monitor and documents ongoing test activities and reads out the test instructions and task scenarios. Since there is no help resource available in the prototype application, participants are allowed to ask questions to the test personnel for helping them to proceed with the tasks. The test personnel also conduct the prepared questionnaire and the short interview after each task and when all tasks are completed. After testing, the test personnel review the recorded tape.

### 6.3.4 Test Sessions

**Test Administration**

The test personnel have a minimum background to accomplish the test tasks. He/she provide the experimental treatment. All the test scenarios are read out and prototype application is run by the test personnel when participants are ready. When the participants are ready to perform a task, it is when the time is started to be recorded. After the completion of the test session and the review of the audio recorded tape, it is where an evaluation will be completed.

**Experimental Treatment**
The test participants are given a general orientation of the test environment and the flow of the test sessions. The participants receive the short training to learn how to manipulate the haptic device and short discussion about the general concept of virtual environment, haptic device and haptic interaction.

**General Conduct of Test Sessions**

**Audio Tape Recording:** The purpose of the audio tape recording is to capture the test session on tape so that it can be evaluated later on.

**Help Resources:** Since there is no help information available in the prototype application, they are allowed to ask questions to the tester during the test session.

**Pre-Questionnaire:** Pre-questionnaire is given to each test participant in order to find their background information prior to the task performance. The particular information collected here is the experience of computer use and computer games machines such as PlayStation. The test examines if the game experience affects the performance with the haptic device, since these games use a joystick and other hardware devices to interact with on-going screen action. This background information is combined with the results of the post-task and post-test interview to evaluate the test results.
**After-Task and After-Test Questionnaire:** Prepared questions are asked prior to the short interview. Answers to the prepared questions in the post-task interview are Likely-scaled in 5 levels. For post-test interview, the participant give both Likely-scaled and subjective answers.

**After-Task and After-Test Interviews:** The tester conducts the short interview immediately after each task and a whole test. The interviews are based on some noticeable points during the test session. The tester observes the participant and notes down any noticeable points from participants’ verbal comments, facial expression, and physical expression.

**Test Time:** The estimated time of the test session is no more than one and a half hour. The approximate time of performing each task is about three minutes. This approximation is based on two pilot tests. If three minutes past, the test personnel may offer the help or suggestion.

**Post-Test Review:** After the test session is completed, the test personnel reviews the audio recorded tape of the test session and records any noticeable issues.

**6.3.5 Task Scenario**

Tasks to be tested are selected to evaluate the hypotheses 1, 2, and 3. Since the target users do not rely on visualization, it is important to
examine how accurately participants conceptualize haptically presented information and how well they can manipulate virtual objects. Because the virtual objects given to the participants are familiar and simple, they must be able to recognize them exactly for what they are and how they are.

Sjostrom developed guidelines for non-visual haptic interface design (Sjöström 2002). Selected tasks validate the following points from this guideline while at the same time evaluating the hypotheses in this study:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Selected points from Sjostrom’s Guidelines to be validated</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>V-1</td>
<td>Use rounded corners rather than sharp ones.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V-2</td>
<td>Consider different representations to enhance different properties.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V-3</td>
<td>Make sure that the models are haptically accurate and work without vision.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V-4</td>
<td>Be aware that the orientation of the object matters.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V-5</td>
<td>Provide well defined and easy-to-find reference points in the environment.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V-6</td>
<td>Avoid objects with small and scattered surfaces.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Tasks to be tested

There are 10 tasks to be tested by test participants. Tasks are grouped together into two: task 1-6 and task 7-10. In tasks 1-6, familiar shapes such as sphere and cube are repeatedly touched. In tasks 7-10, less familiar shapes such as cone, cylinder, torus, rotated positioned cube are perceptualized.
Table 6.2  Tasks to be tested

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Task</th>
<th>Familiarity*</th>
<th>Object</th>
<th>Surrounded Environment or Effect</th>
<th>Validate **</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T1</td>
<td>HIGH</td>
<td>Sphere</td>
<td>In free space</td>
<td>V-1, V-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T2</td>
<td>HIGH</td>
<td>Cube</td>
<td>In free space</td>
<td>V-1, V-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T3</td>
<td>HIGH</td>
<td>Sphere</td>
<td>In bounded space</td>
<td>V-1, V-2, V-5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T4</td>
<td>HIGH</td>
<td>Cube</td>
<td>In bounded space</td>
<td>V-1, V-2, V-5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T5</td>
<td>HIGH</td>
<td>Sphere</td>
<td>Magnetic force effect</td>
<td>V-1, V-2, V-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T6</td>
<td>HIGH</td>
<td>Cube</td>
<td>Magnetic force effect</td>
<td>V-1, V-2, V-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T7</td>
<td>MEDIUM</td>
<td>Cone</td>
<td>In bounded space</td>
<td>V-1, V-2, V-5, V-6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T8</td>
<td>MEDIUM</td>
<td>Cylinder</td>
<td>In bounded space</td>
<td>V-1, V-2, V-5, V-6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T9</td>
<td>LOW</td>
<td>Torus</td>
<td>In bounded space</td>
<td>V-1, V-2, V-5, V-6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T10</td>
<td>HIGH</td>
<td>Cube</td>
<td>Rotated position in bounded space</td>
<td>V-1, V-2, V-4, V-5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: * represents the level of familiarity with an object to be identified.
** represents the point to be validated in Sjöström's guidelines described in table 6.1.

In each task, the participant is requested to identify the shape and to place the stylus on top, bottom, front, back, right and left sides of the objects.

6.3.6 Quality Characteristics and Metrics

This experiment collects data based on the usability metric described in the ISO 9241. Usability consists of the aspects of effectiveness, efficiency, and satisfaction with which specified users achieve specified goals in particular environments. Addition to these aspects, the experiment collects data relating to the accuracy of information.
perception. The summary of quality characteristics and metrics is described in Table 6.3. Metrics for efficiency (see Table 6.3) is used to measure the efficiency aspect rather than the satisfaction aspect because this point is related to the ease of manipulating a device.

**Accuracy of information perception:** The accuracy of information perception relates to the target goals of the task with correct response and achieved completeness. To measure the accuracy of information perception, the following data is used: the answer to identify object shapes, achieved completeness to place a stylus on virtual object, and the level of realism in virtual reality.

**Efficiency:** Efficiency relates to the level of effort to accomplish the task. To measure the efficiency, the following data is used: the time to complete the task, the time to place the stylus at certain positions of virtual object, the data collected from questionnaire to measure how easy it was to identify objects and to manipulate the device.

**Effectiveness:** Effectiveness relates to the accuracy and completeness of the achieved goal. The number of completed tasks is used to validate effectiveness.

**Satisfaction:** Satisfaction relates to the comfort and overall acceptability of the task. This point is measured by answers in questionnaire and user observations. Since none of the participants had ever experienced
haptic interaction, measuring satisfaction by Liked-scaling may not be reliable, so that subjective data is used instead.

Table 6.3 Summary of quality characteristics and metrics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Quality Characteristic</th>
<th>Metrics</th>
<th>Instrument</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Accuracy of Information</td>
<td>a. Correctness of answer to identify geometric shapes</td>
<td>Ata-Q4 *</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perception</td>
<td>b. Achieved completeness of working with virtual objects</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>c. Level of realism in virtual reality</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Efficiency</td>
<td>a. The time to identify geometric shapes</td>
<td>Ata-Q1, Ata-Q2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>b. The time to point out the location of virtual objects</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>c. Level of ease to identify shapes of objects</td>
<td>Ata-Q3, Ate-Q4**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>d. Level of ease to manipulate a device</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>e. Level of user’s confidence to manipulate a device</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Effectiveness</td>
<td>a. Number of tasks completed</td>
<td>Ate-Q8, Ate-Q6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Satisfaction</td>
<td>a. Characteristic of user’s emotion after the test</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: * Ata-Q = After-task questionnaire, ** Ate-Q = After-test questionnaire, see Appendix C.

In order to validate points in the Sjostrom guideline (see Table 6.1), the following data is used: questions 1 and 2 in After-task questionnaire 2 for task 1-6, and questions 1, 2, and 5 in After-test questionnaire (see Appendix C).
7 Results with Audio and Tactile Mode

In this chapter, results from experiments with screen-reader and Braille-display are presented. Details of results with screen-reader are in Appendix D and with Braille-display in Appendix E.

The findings were collected from the after-task interview and the observations. The data of findings were categorized into four types of problems: 1) Usability and Accessibility related problem, 2) Software fault related problem, 3) Participant’s cognitive mechanism related problem, and 4) Learning method related problem. The problem category 4 was applied only for tasks solving mathematics.

In experiment with Braille-display, data was collected from two participants. One of the participants was not able to participate in the experiment due to the technical problem with Braille-display. He had this problem often and we were not able to schedule him for testing.

Table 7.1 shows the example of data collected from experiments with screen-reader and Braille-display.

With screen-reader, 36 problems or observations were collected in 3 sessions. 24 out of 36 problems were related to usability and accessibility issues. In 5 task instances out of 20 totals, participants
were not able to finish their tasks. In seven out of the 20 instances, participants were not satisfied with their performance, i.e. the amount of time it took them to finish the task. With Braille-display, 23 problems or observations were collected in 2 sessions. 14 out of 23 problems were related to usability and accessibility issues. All tasks were performed successfully out of 10 instances in total. In 2 out of the 10 instances, participants were not satisfied with their performance. Table 7.2 shows the distribution of problems observed during two tests.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Participant</th>
<th>P1</th>
<th>P2</th>
<th>P3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Time to complete this task (min)</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Satisfaction with the amount of time spent</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suitability of screen-reader for this task</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 7.1 Sample Data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Problem Categories</th>
<th>Observation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Usability and accessibility</td>
<td>Blank lines, indentations, and capital letters are usually used in the document to increase the readability. But some of these design guidelines, for example blank lines, destructed blind participants</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Software fault</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cognitive mechanism</td>
<td>Listens to a problem many times until understanding. The screen-reader is not a hindrance for him, but the tools that he has available, i.e. Word in this case. Listening and solving the problem at the same time is difficult.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Learning method</td>
<td>A participant solved the problem as a mental exercise without the aid of drawing or written memos. A participant sought confirmation from tester on what he did. He was uncertain about what he was doing.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 7.2  Number of problems

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Problem category</th>
<th>Screen-Reader</th>
<th>Braille-Display</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Usability and accessibility</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Software fault</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cognitive mechanism</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Learning method</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
8 Results with Haptic Mode

In this chapter, results from the experiment with haptic prototype are presented. The detailed results for each participant are presented in Appendix F.

8.1 Completeness of Task Performance (Task 1-6)

8.1.1 Identifying Object

All participants were able to accomplish this task with variation of help. The mean times to identify the objects were calculated. These are presented in Table 8.1. Though the means were calculated, the performance time varies among participants. For example, to identifying a cube in the free space, the shortest performance time was 30 seconds while the longest performance time was 420 seconds or 8 times longer. The completion time for identifying the objects in tasks 1-6 reflected with the results of the after-task questionnaire II. The question was “Rank with number 1 - 3 to indicate the easiness to identify objects”, where 1 indicates easiest and 3 indicates most difficult. This question was conducted for both sphere and cube. This question was designed to evaluate whether effects or presentation differences help participants to understand the object easily. The results are summarized in Table 8.1. The column Preference Effect shows how many users rank this effect
with 1 (easiest to feel).

The accomplished time needs some adjustment when analyzed. Most of participants accomplished tasks with some assistance by the test personnel. The degree of assistance provided is labeled with H (high), M (medium), and L (low). The degree of assistance is labeled subjectively based on the audio tape review and user observations.

Table 8.1 Average time to identify the object

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Object tested</th>
<th>Time (sec)</th>
<th>Effect Preference *</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sphere in the free space</td>
<td>96.0</td>
<td>3 out of 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cube in the free space</td>
<td>150.0</td>
<td>3 out of 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sphere in the bounded space</td>
<td>110.0</td>
<td>1 out of 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cube in the bounded space</td>
<td>64.0</td>
<td>3 out of 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sphere with magnetic effect</td>
<td>35.6</td>
<td>3 out of 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cube with magnetic effect</td>
<td>103.0</td>
<td>1 out of 5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: * Data indicates user’s preference of effects used with identified objects. Data is read as how many users rank this effect is easiest to feel.

Though all participants accomplished the task to identify the object within certain time with assistance of test personnel, the first response was often different. Table 8.2 shows such responses.
Table 8.2 Users’ response for identifying object (Task 1-6)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Object tested</th>
<th>Users’ Response</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sphere in the free space</td>
<td>Ring</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Something round</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cube in the free space</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sphere in the bounded space</td>
<td>Circular plate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Knife cover</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Half circle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ellipse</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cube in the bounded space</td>
<td>House upside-down</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sphere with magnetic effect</td>
<td>Egg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cube with magnetic effect</td>
<td>Rectangular box</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

8.1.2 Pointing Positions on Objects

All participants performed tasks of pointing the specific position on objects within 30 seconds, except in 3 instances out of 180. After the completion of task 2, all participants accomplished this task within 2 seconds, except in 1 instance out of 120. All locations were pointed out within essentially the same amount of time. Results from this task reflect with the answer from after-task questionnaire II.

Table 8.2 Average time to place the stylus on the object (sec)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Object tested</th>
<th>Location on the object</th>
<th>Top</th>
<th>Bottom</th>
<th>Left</th>
<th>Right</th>
<th>Front</th>
<th>Back</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sphere in free space</td>
<td></td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>17.0</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>17.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cube in free space</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>9.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sphere in bounded space</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>1.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cube in bounded space</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>1.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sphere with magnetic effect</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cube with magnetic effect</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
8.2 Result from After-Task Questionnaire (Task 1-6)

Data from the after-task questionnaire is used as a metric (see Appendix C). Table 8.3 shows the summary of the after-task questionnaire with average scaled points. Values were rated with a 5-point liker-type scale, where 5 points indicates strong agreement. After-task questionnaire question 1 (Q1) is used to measure the level of easiness to identify shapes, question 2 (Q2) for the level of easiness to manipulate a device, question 3 (Q3) for the level of user’ confidence to manipulate a device, and question 4 (Q4) for the level of realism in virtual reality.

Table 8.3 Summary of after-task questionnaire

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Task</th>
<th>Q1</th>
<th>Q2</th>
<th>Q3</th>
<th>Q4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Task 1</td>
<td>3.75</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Task 2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Task 3</td>
<td>4.25</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Task 4</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Task 5</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>3.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Task 6</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>2.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

8.3 Completeness of Tasks (Task 7-10)

Tasks 7-10 are to identify less familiar objects (see Table 6.2 in section 6.3.5). In these tasks, only the short-interview was conducted. Table 8.4 shows the average time to identify the objects. All participants failed to identify a cube in rotated position and a torus in bounded space even with assistance from test personnel. In the case of torus shape, none of
the participants had any clue what it was. Cube in rotated position was identified as a house, a pyramid, a triangle, or a diamond.

Table 8.4  Average time to identify the objects (Task 7-10)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Object tested</th>
<th>Time (sec)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cone in bounded space</td>
<td>72.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cylinder in bounded space</td>
<td>53.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cube in rotated position</td>
<td>All failed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Torus in bounded space</td>
<td>All failed</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

8.4 Summary of Observations

The summary of user observations (Table 8.5) during the test session was classified based on the problem classification of haptic interaction developed by Sjöström (Sjöström 2002). He classified the problems of haptic interaction into three, and discussed these problems from five aspects. A subset of his classification is used to summarize the observations in this experiment. Sjöström classified problems into three and relate them: 1) to touch interaction in between real world and virtual reality, 2) to virtual interaction of any kinds, and 3) to one point interaction. Since these three problems are interwoven and closely related, we do not classify our observation according to these problems. Our observations are discussed from four aspects: 1) Object, 2) Navigation and Overview, 3) Context, and 4) Learning.
### Table 8.5 Observed problems in haptic interaction

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Object</th>
<th>Navigation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Details of a complex object are hard to be understood by users.</td>
<td>Since one-point interaction allows users to touch one point on one object, it is almost impossible to overview the virtual scence.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The accuracy of information perceived is not as high as the one through real hands.</td>
<td>It is easy to get lost in the free space.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The orientation of object may confuse users to understand the virtual objet.</td>
<td>It is easy to lose the contact with the object while interacting with one-point touching.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unfamiliar object is difficult to be understood.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incorrect effects on the object made more difficult to interact with one point.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Context</th>
<th>Learning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Even with simple and familiar object, the context information helped.</td>
<td>Understanding the force effects does not come naturally.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Experience made users more easily to manipulate the machine and understand the force effects.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>It is as difficult as touching a real object by a point of a pencil. Improving this skill by training is a question.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
9 Analysis and Discussion - Audio and Tactile Modes

9.1 Efficiency

Bevan et al. described efficiency as how much resource is consumed on achieving a task (Bevan and Macleod 1994). From a user’s perspective, spent time or effort required to complete the task are considered as resources. Bevan et al. (Bevan and Macleod 1994) defined two types of efficiency. One is the temporary efficiency which is the time spent to accomplish the task. Another is the human efficiency which is the level of the human’s cognitive load during the task performance. In this study, we are interested in understanding the human efficiency during the time when participants work with screen reader or Braille display. Sweller (Sweller 1988) stated that in order to learn effectively, the human’s mental load needs to be kept low.

Level of mental load was measured by questionnaire. Bevan et al. stated that questions, which ask the level of difficulty to perform the task, are used to measure the mental-load during the task performance (Bevan and Macleod 1994). In this study, the after-task questionnaire asked whether it was easy to accomplish the task with screen reader/Braille Display. Answers were either Yes or No. In order to measure the level of mental load in details, it was necessary to apply
liker-type scaling. In after-test questionnaire, questions 1-5 ask about the level of ease to work with screen reader or Braille display with 5-point liker-type scaling.

Response from participants P2 and P3, who have participated in tests both with screen reader and Braille display, indicate that ease of use and work with Braille display is higher. According to Bevan et al., this result implies that mental-load during the task performance was lower with Braille display.

9.2 Effectiveness

With the screen reader, in 5 instances out of the total of 20, participants failed to perform the task. With Braille display, there was 1 failure out of 10 instances. In this case, this participant’s mail sever was not connected because the school just installed the new mail system on the day when the test was conducted. Both with screen reader and Braille display, editing MS Word document and searching requested information over the internet were most time consuming tasks. Only one participant accomplished the editing task with screen reader and took 40 minutes to edit a three-pages-long document. This person was not satisfied with the time he spent. On the other hand, this participant spent 38 minutes to edit a two-pages-long document with Braille display, and he was satisfied with the time he spent.
Observations recorded during the task to edit the document tell us that with Braille display, participants had good control over the information flow. Especially for the task finding the specific position in document, they commented that Braille display work better over screen reader. The reason relates to the nature of listening and touching (reading). Parkin and Aldrich described reading and listening perception as: “Listening is a much slower process than reading, and many of the strategies available to the reader (e.g. highlighting, underlining, and skimming) cannot be carried out with tape. More importantly, listening is essentially a passive process, making the listener far more vulnerable to distractions than the reader.” (Parkin and Aldrich 1989).

9.3 Analyze observations

Observing blind students carrying out the tasks was satisfying because we learned about their problems and their accomplishments. We discovered that the assistive technology was built and provided to adapt the blind world to the sighted world. In this section, observations during experiments are discussed in each task. For the experiment with screen reader, there are three participants, and for the experiment with Braille display, there are two participants. These two participants also tried experiment with screen reader.
Task scenario: Send E-Mail

Screen reader: The participants solved this task efficiently. There were operating systems/network problems, incomprehensible error messages that did not catch the user's attention and navigational problems within a web browsing application. Sighted people also have problems with incomprehensible error messages and they too can overlook error messages. Sighted people conclude that there are network problems from an inactive browser, a message indicating that it is still attempting to retrieve data or error on page.

Braille display: One participant did not solve this problem because the new mail system was just installed and this person was not able to use it. Another participant solved successfully, but encountered the error. Recovering from errors for blind users is more difficult task than for sighted people, because encountering a new situation is often difficult task for blind users in any circumstances.

Recommendations:

Provide additional feedback on network connections and processing status. The feedback of the status of all systems is particularly important to blind people and they often rely on asking an assistant. The error feedback is particularly necessary for blind people. It needs to be
appropriate to that particular situation and to provide easy-to-follow instruction. The feedback also needs to be persistent, i.e. available as long as needed. One recommendation (Leporini and Paternó 2004) that has been made to increase satisfaction is to provide with each web page a short sound that tells the user that it has been loaded. While this can be a good suggestion, one has to be careful that a short audio signal is very transient. Our case study showed that such feedback would not merely increase satisfaction but is likely to increase effectiveness or efficiency.

**Open problems:**

Communication is particularly important to blind people in order to break their isolation. Textual e-mail is an example of a translated solution for blind people where a native approach would be more helpful. We can propose that e-mail is popular communication instrument because it is asynchronous, i.e. the receiver does not have to be available, and the sender can enter text, and edit it until he/she is satisfied. Finally, the receiver can at his leisure read and re-read the e-mail. For a blind person a more direct approach would be to allow him/her to record his message with an editing tool that can revise the message if needed. If he/she is communicating with another blind person, he/she can listen to it as many times as needed, just as he/she had done if the message had arrived in textual form. If the recipient is sighted, he/she might have an
option of translating the audio message to text. This technology is available but in limited languages.

**Task scenario: Organize a contact in an e-mail program**

This task was performed only with a screen reader. One participant was unable to complete this task. A second participant was not satisfied with his performance. As in the case of the task of sending e-mail, here too were problems that were due to insufficient usability and accessibility. Software faults were also present.

**Recommendations:**

In case of software faults, automatic recovery that returns the system to the same state will undoubtedly help. Additional tracing can provide better recovery. Since it is more difficult to assess the state of the system for blind people, there is less tolerance for faults and increasing demand for higher reliability.

**Open problems:**

In simple data entry applications such as an address book, it is worth investigating whether audio input with simple one-word commands is applicable. Another way might be to parse free form text, instead of a form. This would free the burden of the blind person of navigating within a form and provide a more direct manipulation.
Task scenario: Editing an MS™ Word document

Screen reader: None of the participants were able to complete this task efficiently. Two gave up and the third was not satisfied with the time it took. A number of problems were recorded, mostly under the category usability and accessibility but also under the category cognitive load.

Braille display: None of the participants were able to complete this task. One person spent too much time to complete, although this person was satisfied with the time took. The other one finished much earlier but this person was not satisfied with the time. Comparing with the case when they used screen reader, they looked more relaxed because they had better control over the information flow. They moved to the next line after they understood by touching. Though screen reader also has some control such as stop the synthesized speech, change the speed, or move between lines, our participants did not used these features. The way they control the information flow with Braille display is similar to the reading technique. The blind users are able to spot some particular place in the document and to focus on a particular content easily.

Recommendations:

Bookmarks, indices, table of contents or keywords, for long text documents are needed that will enable the blind person to scan a long document easily. Leporini and Paternó (Leporini and Paternó 2004)
point out that one of the main navigational problems is excessive sequencing in reading information, i.e. that instead of directly accessing a certain paragraph, the blind user needs to listen first to the preceding paragraphs. Sighted people use paragraphs for visual scanning. Indices to numbers can be helpful in a similar way. Sighted people will easily be able to spot and go directly to paragraphs containing numbers, but blind people do not have this ability. For this type of task, both participants suggested to use both screen reader and Braille display. To grasp the summary of documents quickly, use screen reader. To edit document precisely in details, use Braille display.

Open problems:

Short keys and other tools that require blind students to be experts at using assistive technology was of limited use since it adds to the cognitive load. Blind people have to remember all short keys in order to remember. One participant commented that the user manual for screen reader and Braille display is very thick, and this person does not feel like reading it and cannot memorize it all. Our study confirms in this aspect the result of another study by Theofanos and Redish (Theofanos and Redish 2003). Instead, applications need to provide interactive help or training that eases user’s cognitive load but still provides efficient processing.
**Task scenario: Search the Internet with Google**

This task was performed only with screen reader. Only one of the three students was able to complete the task. Navigating between contexts proved hard, e.g. between a list of results and a particular web page that the student wanted to examine further. As in the case with text processing, long documents are also a problem. Pop-up information interfere students' work, but this can be turned off. Graphical images, icons and irrelevant information to the content, such as ads, interfered. This result is not surprising and similar findings have been reported in a study by Pernice Coyne and Nielsen (Pernice Coyne and Nielsen 2001, as cited in Gunderson, 2004) that reported that whereas users in a control group finished about 75% of web related tasks, blind participants completed the tasks about 12.5% of the time.

**Task scenario: Search the particular information from particular site**

This task was performed only with Braille display. Both accomplished this task. One effectively completed but the other one ran into accessibility problem. In this task, the municipal web-site was used. Most of content was accessible (i.e. displayed on Braille-board), but overflowing information made it hard for them to find what they were looking for. The menu was too long. Poor usability web design affect
blind user directly and severely. Poor usability sometimes makes contents inaccessible for blind users. The depth of site links needs to be considered. Since for blind people scanning the web-content is not as easy as sighted people, they need to listen to (touch) contents of web pages every time they encounter the new page. The deeper the depth of web page links, the more they need to read. One participant commented that he avoids using the Internet because working with the Internet for searching information is very time-consuming and he/she encounters all kinds of problems which he/she cannot solve alone.

**Recommendations:**

Training of existing functionality and preferences is recommended. Comparison of available tools and evaluation of best suitable applications needs to be continuously up to date, such as comparison of web browsers with respect to implementing accessibility guidance (Gunderson 2004).

**Open problems:**

It is unrealistic to suggest that ads should be removed, and even removed for blind students, because they are consumers too. It is not a solution to change the graphics to text, because it will not diminish their cognitive load. An intelligent filter is needed to remove information that increases the user’s cognitive load by appearing repeatedly.
Task scenario: Solve a calculation problem

*Screen reader & Braille display:* All three participants with screen reader and all two with Braille display were able to solve the problem and were satisfied with the time they spent on it. They were free to use any tool, but chose to use Excel and a calculator. When sighted people read or solve some mathematical problem, they use external memory to draw an idea or take memos. For blind people, perceiving mathematical information with currently used solutions is difficult because often they are not able to use any resource for the external memory. In the calculation task, the computer calculator and a spreadsheet were used as external memory, which may explain why it was so easy. When this task was tested with Braille display, both participants commented that they were able to get information at the same time they are able to think. On the other hand, with screen reader, they need to memorize all information in their heads before solving the problem. This caused extra mental load during learning.

There were no recommendations or open problems derived from this task.

Task scenario: Solve a logic problem

*Screen reader & Braille display:* All participants were able to solve the problem with both screen reader and Braille display. With screen reader
one participant was not satisfied with his performance although he solved it the fastest. When this task was performed with Braille display, participants did not have to read (touch) as many times as with screen reader.

**Recommendations:**

Assistive technology needs to make information persistent. In the case of a screen reader, this means that the student needs to listen to the problem description repeatedly. Continuous confirmation is needed from the computer that the student is working on the solution in the right direction. In contrast to the calculation problem, the logic problem was very difficult because everything had to be done only in their minds. If there is some solution for providing an external memory, as the calculator or the spreadsheet were in the case of the calculation problem, we believe their way of learning changes quite effectively.

**Open problems:**

Since auditory information is transient, it might help students to decompose the problem into sections. Parts of the solution might be connected directly to the problem description. Since there was apparently no tool that came to the students’ minds, it is a research question what form or tool can complement current text editing and spreadsheets as a native tool for the blind.
9.4 Analyze the hypothesis 4

Hypothesis 4 is: Interacting with computer with single mode (tactile or audio) has limitation for perceiving study materials and each mode can be used in efficient and effective way to perceive different information or to perform different task.

From experiments both with screen reader and Braille display, participants used only single mode. The hypothesis was established from the issue that audio and tactile perception has much lower information bandwidth than visual perception. By the nature of reading, there is much more control over the incoming information and it allows the reader to perceive this information more accurately and efficiently. The materials to be read stay in front of reader’s eyes, and readers do not have to memorize all information written there. As it was seen in the task solving mathematics, participants had to listen to the problem over and over again until they memorized all necessary information to solve problems. Calculation was easier for them because they added numbers as they listened by using the calculator. Keeping the information and solving problems by using this information increase the mental load and this is not an efficient way of learning according to Sweller (Sweller 1988). In this sense, using Braille display for this type of tasks creates less mental load, because participants are able to
control flow of information in a better way. Participants made comments that they were able to think at the same time they touch the line of information. This comment implies that solving a problem by listening is not an efficient way to work.

In the task of searching Internet, similar phenomenon occurred. The amount of information in each web page that participants had to scan is a lot more than the readers had to, because every page is new and they had to listen or touch everything written until they found things they were looking for.

Aldrich and Parkin described the lack of control over information flow such that since the listener does not have good control over the information flow, they become passive and this passivity leads to decrease in the concentration (Aldrich and Parkin 1988). This implies that the listener needs to pay more concentration to perceive same amount of information than readers.

In this study, we did not include the experiment using both screen reader and Braille display together. However, the participant comments, using screen reader for scanning information and Braille display for detail work which require more focus on that information, confirms that the two modes reciprocally cover each others weaknesses.
10 Analysis and Discussion Haptic Mode

10.1 Accuracy of Information Perception

10.1.1 Correctness of identifying geometric objects

All participants completed tasks 1-6 in varied time (see Appendix F). This implies that all participants responded with the correct answer at the end of each task. One important issue we need to note here is that the participants completed these tasks with some assistance given by test personnel. The level of assistance they received is recorded in Appendix F. The reason for giving assistance to participants was that none of participants has experienced with virtual reality or haptic devices. Four out of five participants were children and three out of five were blind. Children tend to ask questions when they are uncertain about what they are doing (Hanna, Risden et al. 1997). Blind people have this tendency as well, especially blind students. Blind students in Iceland learn at school with constant assistance and tend to ask questions whenever they are not sure of what is going on. For running a usability test with young participants, it is important to make them comfortable and encourage them to accomplish tasks. Therefore, in the analysis here we focused on their first responses recorded during the session.
In the case of sphere shape (task 1, 3, 6), the participants responses were circle, egg, circular plate, knife cover, and a half circle. The problem here is that the participants were not able to differentiate between three-dimensional and two-dimensional space. We are not used to touching three-dimensional objects except in the real world. The problem of understanding three-dimensional space may be solved by training.

For the cube, participants responded with rectangular shape and house up-side-down. Two out of five participants recognized the cube in the first response, but one did not have an idea what it was for the first time he/she tried the cube in task 2. Verbal comments made by the participants during these tasks show the uncertainty of their answer even when they answered successfully at the end. They might have guessed their answer. Some participants commented that they were not able to identify the proportion of objects (e.g., cube vs. rectangular box and sphere vs. egg shape). This point is discussed in the work done by Sjöström and his colleague as well (Magnusson, Rassmus-Gröhn et al. 2002). A more detailed experiment is needed to examine how users understand the proportion of one object to another.

The cylinder and the cone are considered less familiar shapes. When these objects were tested, the level of assistance received from the test personnel was low except in one instance out of total of 20 (Appendix F).
A cone has a very sharp point on top, round surface and a sharp corner at the bottom. These facts might have helped the participants as anchors to connect with their familiar objects.

The rotated cube was one of the difficult objects to identify and all participants failed this task. All of them recognized the top part as *house roof*, *diamond*, or *pyramid*. However, none of the participants was able to recognize the lower part of this object. One of the reasons was that they were not able to have the stylus stay on the surface of objects. At the edge of bottom of the pyramid (or roof), the stylus slid down and they lost contact with the object. For sighted people, the cube in rotated position gives a more realistic view of the three-dimensional object. However, the unexpected position of an object made the participants confused. Sjöström also discussed this unexpected object orientation (Sjöström 2002).

The torus was the most difficult object to identify, and they all failed this task. All participants explored only a small portion of this object. One of the reasons was that there is a hole in the middle of this object, so that they were unable to have a stylus stay on the object. It was easy to lose contact with the object. Sjöström and his colleagues (Magnusson, Rassmus-Gröhn et al. 2002) tested an object with much finer details. They asked test participants to identify a grand piano and a stool. Their result showed that 20 out of 24 participants were able to recognize these
objects. In their work, it was not mentioned whether they provided some help or not. However, our results showed that even with much simpler object with high level of assistance, our participants had no clue and could not give any response about this object. Further testing is required to confirm how much detail of virtual objects people are able to understand with a haptic mode. One question raised related to the work done by Sjöström and his colleagues (Magnusson, Rassmus-Gröhn et al. 2002) is whether users are able to understand a virtual object which is unknown for them in their real world, especially for younger blind users whose experience in the real world may be much more limited.

10.1.2 Achieved completeness working with virtual objects

The achieved completeness of working with virtual objects was measured by how accurate and how well the participant placed the stylus on specific positions of the objects. Once participants understood the object, they all successfully placed the stylus on the specific position of the object. There were few instances where the participant did not place the stylus on the exact positions, but they all understood and felt the virtual space correctly and manipulated the machine accordingly. This is an important fact when we develop the useful application with haptic mode for blind users which helps them understand information not accessible by the current solutions. The amount of time to place the
stylus on the object (only task 1-6) is considerably short and this time became shorter as they experienced more objects. After-task questionnaire 2 asks whether it was easy to point out the specific place of the object (task 1-6). For 4 instances out of 6 in total, the average response was 5 on a 5 point liker-type scale. For the first two tasks, average was 4.5 and 4.2. The more they touched the objects, the easier it felt to control the object.

10.1.3 Level of realism in virtual reality

The level of realism was measured by the after-task questionnaire 4, which asked whether participants were able to feel the object as easily as touching is with their real fingers. For 4 instances out of 30, participants responded with over 3 points on a 5-point liker-type scale. The average through tasks 1–6 was 2.8 points which indicated that they could not feel the object as well as touching with their fingers. If one touches a real object with a pen point, one may not feel it as well as when touching with fingers. One observation that needs to be pointed out is that during the test session three blind participants started using both hands as they tried to touch real objects. Compared with using their fingers, the level of realism is low, but the observation tells us that they did feel some realism in the virtual environment. One participant commented that the haptic touching was much more realistic than this
Another participant described haptic interaction with the PHANToM as being like touching the real object with some tool. Three blind participants almost used another hand and tried to touch it as if there was an object right in front of them. Redesign of the experiment and questionnaire are necessary to evaluate the level of realism in more detail. To understand the level of realism that users feel relates to the accuracy of information perception in virtual reality.

10.2 Efficiency

10.2.1 The time to identify geometric objects

To measure the efficiency, one metric used was the time to identify geometric shapes. With visual mode, people can recognize all objects nearly instantly. Fritz et al. described the difference of information bandwidth among different senses in their work (Fritz and Barner 1999). The information bandwidth with visualization is highest among visual, audio, tactile and kinesthetic sensory channels. Comparing with tactile sensory channel, visualization’s information bandwidth is 10000 to 100 times more. Considering this fact, all participants performed within a much shorter time to perceive haptic information. One of participants identified 8 objects out of 10 in total within 1 minute. For some cases, this person responded in 3 seconds. We need to investigate further what kinds of factors associate with performance efficiency. In the pre-
test questionnaire, a specific question about game experience with some
game instrument was asked to see whether the level of experience in
games such as PlayStation or GameCube built a skill of perceiving the
haptic information. Our test did not show a relationship between game
experience and efficiency of haptic information perception.

10.2.2 The time to point out the position of virtual objects

Another measure of efficiency is the time to point out the position of
virtual objects. As described in 8.1.2, once participants understood the
objects, all participants pointed out the position of virtual objects in an
efficient manner. The efficiency improvement can be seen by comparing
the time between task 1 and task 6. This fact indicates that training may
improve the efficiency of user performance. In task 5, whose object was
rated easiest to understand, all participants move the stylus instantly.
This may indicate that added haptic effect on the virtual object affects
the efficiency of working with haptic mode in a virtual environment.

10.2.3 Level of ease to identify shapes of objects and to
manipulate device

These points were examined by after-task questionnaire 1, 2, and 3, and
after-test questionnaire 4. These questions ask participants whether it is
easy to identify, to point out position, and to control the machine. The
responses are users’ evaluations of their own performance. The level of ease to identify the object increased by experience, except for the time that the magnetic cube was tested, which many participants rated as the most difficult object to understand. The level of ease to point out the position was always high. Even for the task 1, participants’ average response was 4.5. The participants did not give high ratings for the level of ease to manipulate the PHANToM machine. The average point after each task was never 5, and overall rating after the test was 3.5. One user had a hard time to feel the difference between the force output and the machine’s stiffness. The test personnel held this person’s hand to teach the difference of feeling. Another participant had a hard time to hold the pen-like stylus. The test personnel suggested blind participants to hold the stylus as they hold a pen, but they seldom use a pen and did not understand how to hold it.

10.3 Effectiveness

For 10 instances out of 50 in total, participants were not able to accomplish the task even with help provided by test personnel. We are not sure whether we can conclude that a haptic interaction with a virtual object is effective or not, since most of the participants received some assistance, though the level of assistance varied, to accomplish the tasks. However, we need to focus the results on tasks 7 and 8 (to
identify the cone and cylinder). Most participants completed these tasks with little assistance and the time required was not longer than for tasks 1, 2, 3, and 6. The objects in tasks 7 and 8 were considered less familiar objects and have more complexity than the sphere and cube. We need to test a greater variety of objects to prove the effectiveness of haptic interaction.

10.4 Satisfaction

Users’ satisfaction was measured by the after-task questionnaire 4 and after-test questionnaire 8. After-task questionnaire 8 asked about how users felt after experiencing the haptic interaction. They all responded with positive answers such as “interesting”, “fun”, and “amazing”. The satisfaction was also measured by users’ confidence to manipulate the PHANToM machine. The average point rating was always above 4 on the 5-point liker-type scale. Even though all participants failed tasks 9 and 10, they all showed a positive feeling towards haptic interaction.

10.5 Analyze the research hypothesis

Hypothesis 1 – by using haptic sensory cue, people are able to perceive geometric properties of the simple familiar objects such as cube and sphere

103
This hypothesis is analyzed by the results discussed in 8.2 – the accuracy of information perception. Several pieces of evidence showed that participants were able to perceive geometric properties of virtual objects.

All participants understood the following features of objects before test personnel provided assistance:

- Sphere was something round.
- Cube was something with corners.
- Cone has some narrow top, round surface which became larger and larger as they moved the stylus to the lower part of the object, and the flat surface at the bottom.
- Cylinder has a flat surface on top and round surface below.
- Rotated cube has some shape like house roof, pyramid, or diamond.
- Torus has some round features.

Several participants also felt some level of realism even though they did not feel objects as realistically as touching with their fingers. However, the study showed that the accuracy and detail of virtual information they perceived is lacking in the haptic-only interaction with the PHANToM unit. Participants were not able to understand the orientation of an object (rotated cube) and extra information presented around the object.
In order to use haptic machine for blind students to learn geometry independently, greater accuracy of information perception is required. Sphere must not be of an egg shape, and a cube should not be a rectangular box.

**Hypothesis 2 - Different presentations (haptic effects and reference points) of the same geometric object will improve the perception of haptically presented information**

In the experiments we have tested several issues presented in the Sjöström's guidelines (Sjöström 2002) (see Table 6.1 and 6.2) to examine whether different effects and presentation of objects he suggested helped participants to understand virtual information better.

1. Use rounded corners rather than sharp ones

   When participants tried the cube, the sharp corners were keys for them to understand this object. One participant commented that for this person the cube was much easier to understand than sphere because of the sharp corners.

2. Consider different representations to enhance different properties.

   To test this point, we added magnetic effects on objects. Magnetic effects on the sphere increased the level of perception. Four participants accomplished the task to understand the magnetic
sphere in less time than the other two spheres. And three out of these four participants accomplished this task within 30 seconds. Three participants rated the magnetic sphere as the easiest sphere to understand, and only one person rated this sphere as the most difficult one (Appendix F). On the other hand, the magnetic cube was not the best object to understand. The reason is described below in (3).

Another test to see this point was representing the object in the bounded space. In our experiments, we presented the object in free space and bounded space. Two users had a hard time to navigate the virtual space, and were not able to touch the object. They moved the stylus beyond the screen space. Bounded space restricts the area that participants move the stylus. If they touch the wall of bounded area, they feel force and cannot move any farther. If they move the stylus beyond the screen space, the vibration force was sent to them. These features made it easy for participants to navigate in the virtual space. The bounded space served as reference point as well.

Adachi et al. used friction on the object to enhance the perception. They showed that an additional friction effect helped users to trace the surface of the virtual object easier (Adachi, Kumano et al. 1995).
The above evidence shows that extra effects on the object enhance perception.

3. Make sure that the models are haptically accurate and work without vision

The magnetic cube did not have haptically accurate effects. The force was not calculated in real time, so that force was sent not smoothly. It sent the right force when the participants moved the stylus slowly. When the stylus was moved fast, the participants did not feel the right force and got confused. This fact showed that the haptic model needs to send the accurate force in a natural way. Much research has been done to develop better algorithms to support creating the haptically correct effects (Anderson 1996; Fritz and Barner 1999; Raymaekers, DeBoeck et al. 2005).

4. Be aware that the orientation of the object matters

Rotated cube was used to examine this point. Participants experienced three cubes which were haptically represented differently before they tested the rotated cube. The rotated cube is similar to the one in bounded space, except for its orientation. All participants failed to understand the orientation of the object. They understood only a portion of the object.
Though it was difficult to understand the orientation of the object as Sjöström pointed out, in real context objects are represented in various orientations. A new experiment is required to support users to understand the orientations of objects.

5. Provide well-defined and easy-to-find reference points in the environment

This point was discussed in 3).

6. Avoid objects with small and scattered surfaces

Normally objects have specific details to represent their features. In our experiment, as an example of the object with small surface, participants tried the torus shape. Torus shape is a donuts shape ring. This object has more detailed features than sphere and cube, but it is not as complex as a grand piano or a chair that Sjöström and his colleagues tested (Magnusson, Rassmus-Gröhn et al. 2002). All participants failed to recognize the torus and had no idea what this object was.

Evidences analyzed along with Sjöström guidelines indicate that people are able to understand some geometric properties of objects. However, when the accuracy of the information understood and the detail of object
are concerned, the haptic-only interaction with PHANToM still has limitations for our purpose.

**Hypothesis 3 – Haptics has a potential for allowing students to conceptualize abstract mathematics information**

This hypothesis is supported by the results from hypothesis 1 and 2. The experiments in this study focus on possibilities of geometry learning. When considering the PHANToM for learning geometry, the study showed that there is some limitation such that details of information are difficult to understand and the accuracy of information perception is not good enough to provide independent learning material for blind students, though people are able to understand some geometric properties. However, our test results are not strong enough to conclude against this hypothesis.
11 Conclusion

The overall research purpose was to investigate the potential benefits of multimodal user interface for blind computer users in learning. Currently, the blind students access computer oriented information by using audio or/tactile user interfaces which are considered the best solutions to interact with computers for them. In this study, we focused on examining each audio, tactile, and haptic mode to see whether single use of each mode had limitations and what were the hindrances and aid of each mode when the focused users interacted with computers. In this study, three different usability tests with different human mode (audio, tactile, and haptic) were conducted to blind users.

The findings from this study provide the basis for modeling a multimodal user interface which each mode is utilized in its most optimal way, so that users will be able to perceive and process computer oriented information in efficient and effective way for learning. Efficient and effective learning in this study based on the Sweller’s cognitive load theory (Sweller 1988). Sweller stated that efficient learning process occurs when learner’s cognitive load is minimized.

This study, however, was not able to provide the potential benefit of multimodal user interface as a result, though we have shown the
limitation of use of single human modality. Further studies are needed to present the benefit of multimodal user interface.

Followings are suggested work needed in the future.

**Audio and tactile user interface:** this study found evidence than single use of audio and tactile mode for computer interaction has some limitation. However, the experiments in this study were not designed to provide the solution for this limitation. The future works need to look solutions for limitations of audio and tactile user interfaces.

1. Investigate the area where audio information perception works best
2. Investigate the area where tactile information perception works best
3. Investigate the efficient way to perceive the information by combining two (audio and tactile) modes for computer interaction
4. Explore the learning model by using audio and tactile modes for effective and efficient learning

**Haptic user interface:** this study showed that the users were able to perceive the geometric properties of virtual objects through haptic only interaction. The study also showed the weaknesses of haptic interaction based on our prototype. The weaknesses are: (1) users do not perceive virtual information accurately and (2) users do not perceive the small
details of virtual objects. In order to use haptic interaction as a learning tool for the blind students, the weaknesses of current haptic interaction with PHANToM need to be addressed. Future work needs to consider how we can improve the accuracy of information perception and how we can present the small details of virtual object haptically. Following additional works are suggested in the future study.

1. Use the unique characteristic of haptic interaction – bidirectional information flow (Salisbuty, Conti et al. 2004). The prototype in this study did not apply this characteristic for the interaction. If this characteristic is used in haptic interaction, more information flow occurs between a user and a machine.

2. Apply various haptic effects on the virtual object. In this study, we have applied only magnetic effects and created boundary as reference points. Our study showed that different haptic effects affect the level of perception. In the future work, it is suggested to add different effects on virtual object and compare. Such effects are vibrations with different level of intensity, friction, and viscous effects.

3. Combine another sensory channel with haptic sensory to improve the accuracy. Brewster et al showed that providing the
audio information during the haptic interaction improve the level of information perception.

PHANToM uses the one-point haptic interaction. It is also suggested to investigate the advantage of one-point haptic interaction.

**Multimodal user interfaces:** In this study we focused on studying single mode prior to design the multimodal user interfaces with high usability and accessibility. Suggested future works for audio, tactile and haptic interfaces described earlier need to provide the base for designing efficient and effective multimodal user interfaces. The following objective and hypothesis are suggested to examine in the future work.

Objective : To explore the effect of multimodality on learning

This objective is to examine whether multimodality increases the blind student’s performance and subjective satisfaction. Several multimodal studies indicate that adding extra sensory cue to interact with computer provides better performance to conceptualize the information. It is suggested to examine if the same result from these studies apply for the intended users (blind students) in specific application domain (learning mathematics).
Hypothesis: By combining more than one mode of information representations, users are able to access information more easily than with a single mode.

Brewster et al. represented multimodal graph (Yu and Brewster 2003). They stated that combining haptic and audio cue to understand graphs improved the information perception for blind people. It is assume that their study may apply for intended application domain (learning geometry) in this study.